

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
) Case No. 1:23-cr-34
v.)
) Judge Curtis L. Collier
HUNTER ROBERT COY) Magistrate Judge Susan K. Lee

Section 3161(h)(7)(A) requires the Court to make a finding after carefully considering and balancing multiple factors, including those factors listed in that section. Continuances under the Speedy Trial Act are not lightly granted, and this Court “must set forth the reasoning supporting the determination that the interests served by the grant of the continuance outweigh the defendant’s and the public’s interest in a speedy trial.” *United States v. Crawford*, 982 F.2d 199, 204 (6th Cir. 1993).

speedy trial. No such period of delay resulting from a continuance granted by the court in accordance with this paragraph shall be excludable under this subsection unless the court sets forth, in the record of the case, either orally or in writing, its reasons for finding that the ends of justice served by the granting of such continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

(B) The factors, among others, which a judge shall consider in determining whether to grant a continuance under subparagraph (A) of this paragraph in any case are as follows:

(i) Whether the failure to grant such a continuance in the proceeding would be likely to make a continuation of such proceeding impossible, or result in a miscarriage of justice.

(ii) Whether the case is so unusual or so complex, due to the number of defendants, the nature of the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact or law, that it is unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or for the trial itself within the time limits established by this section.

(iii) Whether, in a case in which arrest precedes indictment, delay in the filing of the indictment is caused because the arrest occurs at a time such that it is unreasonable to expect return and filing of the indictment within the period specified in section 3161(b), or because the facts upon which the grand jury must base its determination are unusual or complex.

(iv) Whether the failure to grant such a continuance in a case which, taken as a whole, is not so unusual or so complex as to fall within clause (ii), would deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, would unreasonably deny the defendant or the Government continuity of counsel, or would deny counsel for the defendant or the attorney for the Government the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

(C) No continuance under subparagraph (A) of this paragraph shall be granted because of general congestion of the court’s calendar, or lack of diligent preparation or failure to obtain available witnesses on the part of the attorney for the Government.

18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A)–(C).

Defendant requests a continuance of the deadlines in this matter. (Doc. 13.) In support of this request, Defendant states he needs to meet with his counsel in person to discuss certain matters and to review the audio and video portions of discovery materials received on May 30, 2023. (*Id.* at 1.) Furthermore, Defendant's counsel submits he has important medical appointments and testing scheduled on June 13 and June 14, 2023, and his mother's funeral on June 23, 2023, rendering him unable to meet with Defendant prior to the current plea-agreement deadline, especially given Defendant's location in the Irwin County Detention Center in Ocilla, Georgia. (*Id.*) The United States does not oppose this motion. (*Id.* at 2.)

Because the interests served by granting the continuance outweigh the interests of Defendant and the public in a speedy trial, the Court **GRANTS** Defendant's motion (Doc. 13). Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), it is hereby **ORDERED**:

- (1) The trial of this matter is continued until **August 14, 2023, at 9:00 a.m.**;
- (2) The final pretrial conference is continued until **August 2, 2023, at 4:00 p.m.**;
- (3) The deadline for requests for jury instructions is extended through **July 26, 2023**;
and
- (4) The plea negotiation and motion deadline is extended through **July 21, 2023**. Any written plea agreement shall be executed by said date.

The parties shall be prepared to commence trial at **9:00 a.m.** on the date which has been assigned. If this case is not heard at 9:00 a.m. on the date assigned, it will be held in line pending notification from the Court. Any subpoenas previously served in this matter will remain in full force and effect for the new trial date. The parties shall be responsible for notifying their witnesses of the new trial date and this Order.

SO ORDERED.

ENTER:

/s/
CURTIS L. COLLIER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE